

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

aen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,081	03/18/2005	Khaliq Ahmed	0446-0171PUS1	4223	
2292 DIDCH STEW	7590 02/06/2008 ART KOLASCH & BIRCH	EXAM	EXAMINER		
. PO BOX 747		HANDAL,	HANDAL, KAITY V		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			<u> </u>		
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	02/06/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/510,081	AHMED, KHALIQ
Examiner	Art Unit
KAITY V. HANDAL	1795

	KATT V. HANDAL	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or</li> </ul>	w);	,,	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>16, 18-27, 29-30</u> .			
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attact	hed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.  Other:	L	l <i>va U</i> rchel EXA D. NECKEL	_
	ALI	EXA D. NECKEI	

ALEXA D. NECKEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/23/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 7:

"Such disclosure does not, however, amount to a teaching of the processing of fuel that is essentially free of organic sulfur-containing compounds to produce a hydrogen-containing stream wherein the fuel is processed without having been subjected to hydrodesulfurization."

Examiner respectfully disagrees. The disclosure of Lesieur et al. does teach different sources of hydrogen which could include a hydrogen tank, a hydride bed or an electrolysis cell; since there is no clear teaching as to whether the fuel is processed without having been subjected to hydrodesulfurization or not, then the disclosure encompasses both situations specially when considering the use of a hydrogen tank as being the hydrogen source. However, in the case of using a hydride bed or an electrolysis cell as the hydrogen source, it is clear to one of ordinary skill in the art that a hydrodesulfurization is not part of these two hydrogen production processes. Furthermore, the applicant argues on Page 8 of the Remarks:

"Thus, the more accurate way to interpret column 5, lines 63-64 of Lesieur is that the source may be an electrolysis cell which breaks down water into hydrogen and oxygen. Clearly,

this is different from the processing of a fuel which is essentially free of organic sulfur-containing compounds to produce a hydrogen-containing stream which is processed without having been subjected to hydrodesulfurization."

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner kindly points out that applicant does not recite any positive step which explicitly identifies what the step of "processing of a fuel" is comprised of, therefore, how can electrolysis as a source of hydrogen be different from applicant's claimed process when knowing that there was no positive process related limitation recited in the claim?

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KAITY V. HANDAL whose telephone number is (571)272-8520. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on (571) 272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KH 4 1/29/2008